## TRANSCRIPTION OF SANTEE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING FANITA RANCH WORKSHOP NOVEMBER 10, 2015

November 10, 2015

-000-

2.

MAYOR VOEPEL: That brings us to Item 2, Fanita Ranch Workshop. Gee, do we have a staff report?

DIRECTOR KUSH: Yes, we do. Good evening, Mayor and City Council. The purpose of this public workshop is to provide an opportunity for the property owner of Fanita Ranch to share efforts today on the future developments of the property. This workshop is a forum for the exchange of ideas. No vote is requested of the City Council tonight.

So I will -- I will go into some slides that have been prepared that -- it's an overview of Fanita Ranch, it's location and what the General Plan says about development of this site.

The General Plan is the City's statement of intent as to the future physical development of the City. The General Plan contains goals, policies and objectives that shape development throughout the City. This particular slide, the pictures of each land use map and land uses are coded by color.

Fanita Ranch is blue, a color that depicts plan development. Plan development, as a land use category, is different from the usual categories we see with other

applications, like R2, R7. The plan development category provides a very high level of development flexibility. For example, this designation, as described, promote -- promotes mixed use. It promotes parks, employment, commercial centers and residential development of varying development density. Development here is expected to be innovative and of an extremely high quality.

This slide positions Fanita Ranch in a region.

The property encompasses 2,600 acres in the northern

portion of the City, and represents a quarter of the

City's territory. It is a mere 20 miles east of the

Pacific Ocean and 50 miles from Downtown San Diego. A

slide like -- the -- the site, rather, like north of

State Route 52, East of I-15 and West of State Route 67.

Currently, there is no direct public street access into the site. Fanita Parkway terminates at the property boundary. Cuyamaca Street and Magnolia Avenue both terminate at the edge of existing residential development. Although, those white lines represent what a future extension of those streets might look like.

The property, over the decades, has been the subject of various development proposal, with the last one proposed in '07, by the previously land owner, Barratt American. In light of court decision and a changing real estate market, HomeFed is considering how

2.

best to move forward with development and turns now to the 16 Guiding Principles for Fanita Ranch that are found in the land use element of our General Plan.

These Guiding Principles have been carried forward over the years, since 1984, and speak to various elements, such as housing, open space, community focus center, which means something like a library, police station, street extension, trail, big development planning, recreational amenities, business parks as employment centers, and of course a development agreement and cost directly assessment for the development of such a large piece of property.

The full list of the Guiding Principles is attached to your Agenda Statement, should you wish to refer to the full context. But the presentation will now be turned over to HomeFed to discuss some of these principles and what they're thinking about as they move forward. With that said, Jeff O'Connor is to approach the podium and continue with the slide presentation. We will continue moving the slides along for him.

MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you, Melanie. Good evening,
Council and Staff. My name is Jeff O'Connor. I work for
HomeFed Corporation, addressed in Carlsbad on -- on 1903
Wright Place.

Tonight, I would like to review three or four

of the Guiding Principles, as -- as Melanie outlined.

And followed by that, we'll take a look at a conceptual footprint, some environmental aspects of the property, and we will also talk about traffic. Then, after that, we're here for questions. We have some of our development team here and we would be glad to answer any questions that you might have. Next slide, please.

So this is one of the existing Guiding

Principles. It talks about the different size of lots

that could be located at Fanita Ranch. And you see that

there's only three different sizes of lots. This -- this

creates a very narrow range of home sites, and what we

would like to do is we would like to update this to -
for consideration of having a more diverse mix of home

sizes and lots -- and lot sizes to incorporate, community

wise, sustainability for utility and energy use. Also,

implement California green standards. Generally, the

days are gone of unlimited water and wasting of natural

resources. We need to have smarter growth. Next one,

please.

This next slide shows the SDG&E power lines.

The next principle, after this slide, talks about the development south of these -- of these power lines. Go ahead and do the next one.

So there used to be developments south of the

2.

power lines. We have decided to preserve this area. And the existing principle talks about having 400-acre regional park. Now, with the development moved to the north of the power lines, the area is more than a 1,000 acres in that area. We would like to have biking, hiking, walking, jogging trails, incorporate interpretive elements along these trails, talk about the history of Fanita, among other things. Obviously, we would like --we need to phase these amenities so the entire city can use them, and they need to be phased so that they get built in the earlier stages of development. Next one.

This is an interesting one. This talks about building a 6800-yard golf course, with a hotel conference center complex. It also talks about if it doesn't wanna be a golf course, we can go ahead and build a 200-acre lake that uses pothole water. We would definitely like to update this one. I did a little calculation. Golf course, during the summertime, will use over half a million gallons of water every day. That's enough water to supply over a thousand homes with water.

200-acre lake, just the evaporation rate in the summertime, when you have hot temperatures and dry wind, will use over 600,000 gallons of -- of water just in evaporation, and that's enough to supply close to 1300 homes.

So what we would like to do -- and it's not that we're not gonna have any water features. The new storm water regulations, as -- as many of us know, incorporate awning systems and small lake systems into the storm water design of the -- of the project, and we are obviously gonna have -- have those as well.

So what we wanna do is we want to make sure that we use smart growth, we have a healthy community, for the enjoyment of all of Santee. The Development Plan needs to include amenities that are sustainable, such as a community farm, using water reuse, drought tolerance landscape design and solar energy. We need to use our resources in a smart way.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Ouestion.

MR. O'CONNOR: Yes.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Community farm? What are you gonna grow there? I mean, different things are becoming legal in Santee, what could a --

MR. O'CONNOR: Well, we wanna make a profit, so that would probably be the likely one. What we've done is we've done research. We have a consultant from Ridge (Unintelligible), up in Canada, and he has done this many and many different parts of the -- of the United States, and Canada, and he -- he can design a farm that will supply the entire community with fruit -- fresh fruit and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 vegetables, on probably somewhere between 15 and 30 acres 2. in -- in size. So -- and -- and we'd like to set up a 3 system where you can get on the internet, order your 4 fruit and vegetables in the morning and when you get home at -- at -- in the afternoon, they're on your doorstep, 5 6 waiting for you. Next one, please. 7 The next -- the next two sides are traffic related, and we're gonna talk about traffic. This is one 8 9 of the Guiding Principles. We're gonna talk about 10 traffic in several portions of -- of my talk here. 11

Principle No. 10 talks about the extension of Fanita Parkway to -- from -- to the western part of our -- our property.

Principle No. 11 talks about extending Cuyamaca Street into our -- our property.

And then, No. 12 talks about various other things, including extending Magnolia Avenue, possibly having a road connection between the project and -- and State Route 67.

What we would like to do, in updating this particular -- these three particular principles, is we may -- we need to make sure that the circulation works. We're gonna -- we're going to complete a comprehensive traffic analysis that will identify traffic constraints and the solution.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Fanita Park -- these ones are similar to the existing ones, where we are going to extend Cuyamaca and Fanita Parkway. We're looking at extending Magnolia to connect with Cuyamaca. That will give a better route to State Route 67. And implementing a face plan to alleviate congestion on SR-52 and Mast Boulevard.

We're gonna discuss this a little more in a few I've also talked to the City regarding slides. possibility of a fire access route between our project and Eucalyptus Hills, down at possibly 67. We obviously are very concerned with public safety and we need -- need to make sure that -- that we're -- we're thinking that through.

So the last one is, we need to design -- also, we need to design community that reduces dependence on single-occupancy vehicles. There's two ways to reduce traffic congestion. One is, you increase the capacity on -- on the road, such as the freeways. The other is, that you decrease the number of vehicles on the roads. That can be done by using carpooling, using a bus system, using a -- a shuttle between Fanita and Town Center for patrolling. Using more bikes for transportation and that kind of thing. Next one, please.

We will talk a little bit more about the 24 traffic coming up. Okay. Let's talk about the

2.

development footprint. We brought -- oh, there we go.

Next one.

So, in 1988, America Newland came up with a plan that developed approximately 58 percent of the site, leaving 42 percent open space. You can see the dark areas of the development, and the light -- light areas with the open space. It's somewhat of a scattered design.

After that, Barratt American came in with this -- with a different plan that showed, generally, three development areas; one in the south, one in the west and one in the northeast corner. This one had a little bit more open space, about 44 percent, and it still had these three development areas that were sort of fragmented a little bit.

What we have done, and I mentioned this earlier, is we have decided to not develop the southern portion of the property. There's various reasons for this that we'll talk about in -- in a few minutes, but what our plan does is it -- it only develops in two areas, on the westerly side and on -- on the easterly side. This plan preserves two-thirds of the site, only developing 33 percent. Open space is in the neighborhood of 67 percent. So you really think we got a lot of work. We have -- we have met with our environmental friends to

2.

look at the footprint and how it should be situated on the site, looking at habitat and wildlife movement. Next one, please.

So this shows, again, the two development areas in the north and the -- in the north of the project, with the south being preserved. We want to put a trail system network in that area. Go ahead, Marty.

So we have identified, with our biologist, and the help of our environmental friends, where the high quality habitat is on our site. As you can see, the southern portion of that area is very high quality.

Also, between the two development areas is -- is high quality, as is to the south and -- and the north.

What we -- what we wanted to do is one of the other reasons we decided that it would -- might be a good reason not to develop in the south is that it would put a buffer between the existing development and -- and our development.

These green lines, what they show is wildlife corridor movement. So you can see what we've done is not only have we taken the area of Fanita Ranch, but the areas adjacent to Fanita Ranch and we have designed our -- our -- our corridors accordingly. You can see how the movement goes both north/south and east/west. It goes to the east of our project, to the middle of our

2.

project and out and, also, from the south, moving in the north direction. Next one.

We've also spent a lot of time on the widths of corridor -- of the wildlife corridors. And our corridors are at least a thousand feet in most areas wide, and often, they get up to close to 2,000 feet wide. Next one.

Okay. Back to traffic. We touched on traffic a little bit earlier, and we are gonna be spending a significant time on traffic. We know it is a huge issue. We just started this meeting a little bit late tonight, because of -- of traffic, I'm assuming on 52. I drove 52 today. I drive it -- I -- I don't drive it that often, but I try to drive it in peak times, so I can see exactly what the residents of Santee are dealing with.

So anyway, this is a -- a picture. The star is -- is Fanita Ranch. The -- the line in the middle, with the three red dots is -- is SR-52. And what we are doing right now is we are having a corridor study prepared for -- between SR-52, between 67 and 805. That corridor study is going to be done early next year. It's going to identify the deficiencies in the road and -- and solutions on how to alleviate those problems.

Also, the City is updating their circulation plan right now, which I understand is supposed to be done

early next year. SANDAG, if you read earlier, I believe it was last weekend, that they are pushing forward the Quality of Life Initiative, which is supposed to be on the ballot in November of 2016. That will raise money for traffic congestion. And at one of those roads, my understanding is that it will include SR-52.

So we really -- like I said, we are gonna spend a lot of time on the traffic issue. We have our traffic engineer on board. Matter of fact, he's here tonight. We think that we can be part of a solution to fix traffic in Santee, and we will show you how we're gonna do that over the next few months as the traffic -- our traffic study gets under way. Next one, please.

So fiscal analysis. We want to make sure that Fanita Ranch is good for the City, financially, and aesthetically, and we want to be part of the community. We want to be good neighbors and good stewards of the land.

Our fiscal analysis is going to look at the existing revenue that the City is seeing from Fanita right now, which is very small. We will also, with the projected fiscal revenue from Fanita Ranch, will be after it's built. There's obviously gonna be some city needs that Fanita Ranch is gonna need. And we are -- are looking at possibly subsidizing that through CFD or other

2.

mechanisms to have -- not only have a fire station, but also man the fire station. Possibly some additional sheriff patrol in -- in the area as well.

And the last thing I wanna say is: We are about to have a significant investment in Santee over the next 20 years. We are gonna make a significant investment, and we are looking forward to working with staff and -- and the City Council. We're gonna have many workshops in the future regarding Fanita Ranch. And now, I will open up questions for public speaking. Thank you.

MAYOR VOEPEL: I got -- I got a couple comments (Unintelligible) question. First comment is nothing new, of course, and that is, you talked about the traffic, and a lot of the concern is not necessarily just the Fanita Ranch traffic, but all the other developments that have been proposed.

And like, for instance, we have Castle Rock that's been, you know, kind of pushed upon us by the City of San Diego, and you know, not just our -- our own projects that are being done here. What you're looking at -- have you -- have you looked at these other projects and do you think that the traffic mitigation that you're working on could help put the traffic congestion from those other projects?

MR. O'CONNOR: We -- we have -- we are reviewing

those now, and those will be part of our traffic study.

Our traffic study will have -- not only take traffic

that's generated from Fanita Ranch, it will have to take

the existing traffic, plus proposed projects or projects

that have recently been -- been approved.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Okay.

MR. O'CONNOR: But we'll take that all into consideration.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Okay. And I know that the landfill there has a -- a project they're supposed to be completing by 2018, I believe, and that is to completely redesign that intersection there. Have we talked to them about how -- make sure the plans they have and the plans that you would have would work together to even make it better than what's proposed?

MR. O'CONNOR: Well, I hope that's the case. We've looked at the intersection design from Mast Boulevard and 52 and -- and what the landfill is supposed to do and we're having our traffic engineers look to make sure that, that is the right thing to do. Maybe it can be supplemented a little bit to make it even better.

We're -- we're doing that.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Okay. And then, you mentioned that the talk with the environmental firms out there, have you identified any -- any issues that have -- aren't going to

20

21

```
be resolved that we need to be aware of? Because you
1
 2.
    know, the bottom line is: You work on these projects,
     you spend, some cases, millions of dollars, and you end
 3
 4
     up spending millions of dollars in court, because
 5
     something was flawed. And I -- I think I've said this
 6
    before is that, I want to make sure that whatever we do
 7
     goes beyond just the, you know, the -- that fine line
    between flawed and, you know, correct, so that we -- you
 8
 9
     know, so that we don't have to maybe go down that road
10
     where a judge has to say, Let's flip a coin, today it's
11
     flawed, tomorrow it's not.
12
          MR. O'CONNOR:
                         Right.
13
          MAYOR VOEPEL: So I wanna make sure that we're doing
14
     that, that we're identifying those issues.
          MR. O'CONNOR: Well, that is definitely the goal.
15
16
     We have met with some of the environmental groups.
17
     continue to meet with them. We have made some
18
```

We have met with some of the environmental groups. We'll continue to meet with them. We have made some significant changes to our -- our land plan, from those meetings, and our goal is to have our EIR very extensive and to cover everything and -- and not be (Unintelligible).

22 MAYOR VOEPEL: Great. Thank you. That's all I
23 have.

COUNCILMEMBER HALL: Well, let's talk about the EIR.

As you know, you got an e-mail today. They're

2.

```
challenging that. And I -- I -- it's almost like the Charger's EIRs. They're saying it's not gonna pass, basically. Any comments on that?
```

MR. O'CONNOR: Well, with the -- the previous EIR from Barratt, it -- it had -- it was challenged on every facet of the -- of the EIR. I think there was 24 or 25 items, and it came down to there was deficiencies in three items. And not only are we gonna concentrate on fixing those three items, but we're looking at all 25 to make sure that, one, we covered everything and we improve on a lot of those -- of those other items, including what we think is -- is a improved footprint. We are definitely taking those into account, we're studying them, we're gonna get them (Unintelligible).

COUNCILMEMBER HALL: On the traffic to the -they're opening up a new border checkpoint for the trucks
coming up through Mexico, and they're unloading them
right on the 125 and they're gonna be coming up -straight up the 125 and the 52. Do we have any plans for
that?

MR. O'CONNOR: Well, that -- that will be taken into account with our traffic study. And like I said, we have Mr. Boarman here today. He might be able to elaborate on some of that, if you'd like.

COUNCILMEMBER HALL: Probably be a good idea,

(Unintelligible).

2.

MR. O'CONNOR: You want to talk a little bit, John? Thank you.

MR. BOARMAN: My name is John Boarman. I'm with Linscott Law & Greenspan, local traffic engineering firm. We're just getting going, so I am aware of that. And the traffic modeling that we do through SANDAG, we'll -- we'll take that into account, and Castle Rock and these other projects.

So -- so cumulative the projects, making sure you don't look at a project in a (Unintelligible) is a big part of CEQA, big part of our traffic analysis, so that -- that can be done.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: All right. So yeah, we -excuse me. We -- we've talked a few times about this,
but just make sure that we are all crystal clear: One,
no matter how many -- you -- you said to me before, I've
been beating you up, trying to get a real number of
units, a unit count out of you and you won't cough one
up, because you said you don't even know yet what the
true count is gonna be.

But I just want to make sure we're all super clear that -- that this is not an overnight project. You don't blink your eyes and we have 1,000 units or 2,000 units. I -- like I said, we don't know where you're

coming in at, but that doesn't happen overnight.

It's over -- what -- what period do you feel this build out is going to take to, one to completion?

And I guess, actually, prior to that would be before -- how many years before the first -- the first homes are being sold from the -- from the day, if -- if you get a green line?

MR. O'CONNOR: Sure. Well, in the process that we're -- that we're starting tonight, for the General Plan Amendment, which will include an EIR, and we're going to include that EIR with our project level EIR, that process, I'm estimating is gonna take between two and three years. During that time, we will start looking at -- at final design, so that, when we get approval, we can start construction relatively quickly after that.

Construction in the first phases -- it's gonna be several phases for this project. We will have probably a year or so, for the first phase of construction. Maybe even a little bit more. Then, the builders have to build the houses and people move into them.

So I would say from this date right now, if things go reasonably well, we're looking at four to five years before the first resident will move in. And then, like I said earlier, we have -- this is a 20-year

project. Maybe even more than that.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Okay. So with that in mind, your corridor study that you're -- you're proposing right now, once again, you're not looking? We're looking at things that, over the next five to 20 years will mitigate -- not only mitigate, but even possibly free up more traffic space on 52 through the -- through the bottleneck, kind of all the way around 125 to the 805; is that accurate?

MR. O'CONNOR: Yes. And -- and generally, what's happening right now with -- with -- on 52 and I've been meeting with people that are doing the corridor study. They've been updating (Unintelligible) from the City and -- and myself. And I mean, there's -- what it's gonna do is it's going to identify what the short-term fixes can be, what the medium-term fixes and what the long-term fixes are.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Can you define, "Short-term, medium-term and long-term," --

MR. O'CONNOR: Yeah. I -- I think the short-term ones could be as simple as re-striping some of the lanes, re-striping some of the on-ramps, that kind of thing.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: I apologize, I mean time frame wise. What do you consider short-term? What do you consider medium-term? What do you consider

```
1 long-term?
```

MR. O'CONNOR: Short-term, probably two to four years.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Okay.

MR. O'CONNOR: Medium-term, up to maybe six or seven years, and long-term, 10 years.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Okay. (Unintelligible).

Let's hear it for (Unintelligible).

VICE MAYOR MINTO: I -- I think that one of the complaints that we get a lot of times is that, Well, nobody told me about this. Nobody kept -- told the community. I'm not within 500 feet of the property line, so I don't get noticed about events going on.

Do you have or are you planning, perhaps, to put up a website that people could go to and get updated, regularly, on where you are in your process? I mean, certain things probably can't be done on a daily basis, obviously. Let's say that you complete a significant portion — like, for instance, the survey, and — so the people can go there and say, Okay, here's a survey. Here's some of the questions that were asked and answered. Or perhaps, maybe, a place where people leave questions, because they may have seen or heard about something different that maybe wasn't covered in the survey.

And -- and the idea behind that, make sure that everybody continues to get that opportunity to give their input, regardless of whether it's, you know, quantity established, environmental, you know, groups or -- or you know, somebody else that just, you know, happened to drive by one day and go, Huh, what can I do about that?

Or, What are they doing about that? You -- have you consider that or --

MR. O'CONNOR: We -- we definitely have. We are a public company, and if you get onto our website, you can find out all the -- all the details about our company. We're transparent. We want to have this process being transparent, and we want to make sure that the public has input and they know what we're doing.

VICE MAYOR MINTO: Well, I know that, you know, you have a website for the company, but I mean, something that would be specific to this so you don't have to, you know, search through HomeFed Development website to get answers or (Unintelligible).

MR. O'CONNOR: We -- we have separate websites for each of our communities and the Fanita one will be -- is being developed now.

VICE MAYOR MINTO: And then, I forgot to ask this before, but you mentioned the -- the -- the storm drain water, and all that stuff, and now, I understand that

2.

the -- the -- the water district will be closely involved in this, to make sure that we have questions answered about whether there's enough water to sustain this community, as well as the existing community, ongoing.

MR. O'CONNOR: Sure. Well, the -- the storm water -- storm water is different than the pothole water. And the storm water regulations, they are coming into affect beginning of next year and they're changing a lot and our engineers are -- are -- they're starting their design and how it's -- how it's all gonna play out in -- for Fanita.

As for the water district, Padre Dam, we have to do several analysis, including a water supply analysis, to make sure that there's enough water to supply our -- our community, and so we'll be working with them on that.

VICE MAYOR MINTO: Okay. Thank you.

MR. O'CONNOR: You're welcome.

CITY CLERK: Okay. Mr. Mayor, we have some speakers. We'll hear the in support first and then we'll move to the opposed. And I have four speakers in favor of the project. Our first speaker is John Olsen.

MR. OLSEN: I got here early for a change. Good evening, City Council, Mayor, Staff. Some of the things that -- that I heard tonight that are pretty exciting

2.

about this project is that it's not something that's gonna happen tomorrow or in five years. It's going to be something that happens over a 20-year-period or so. And if you're looking to build 2,000 houses or units in that time frame, we're looking about adding (Unintelligible) -- 150 to 200 cars a year to the -- the current traffic situation. We're not looking to add 3,000 all at once. And over that time -- 20 years is a long time -- things change. Some people will be added to that traffic issue as they -- they get into the job force and some people will be retired out or -- or move out of that -- that same traffic issue.

So traffic is -- is a big concern for everybody in the community, especially since we only have three arteries that go north and south and two that go east and west. So I can see it our (Unintelligible) prospect. So I -- I can see where there's some concerns for -- for traffic, but I'm -- I'm in favor of this project. Not only will it -- it help us with our immediate -- the tax benefits over that period of time, and the income opportunities from all of those new people that are gonna be moving into the communities. Some of the -- the tax base will go up and, also, the property value of my home will go up, because I'm assuming that these houses will be nicer than the home that I have that was built in

1972. Home (Unintelligible), right?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Was (Unintelligible)? Home Point.

MR. OLSEN: Yeah, okay. So -- and then, the other thing that I want -- hopefully some people consider tonight is that this isn't an up or down vote tonight, this is just what can we do. These folks have been pretty -- pretty easy to -- to work with. In my former job, I -- I met with them a couple times and I've seen a couple of their presentations and they seem to be very interested in making sure that the community has a voice in what we're doing and I think that they're -- they're being smart about it and they're -- they're asking, Hey, what are you looking for in your community? Instead of taking something and jamming it down their throat. So I appreciate your guys' patience and -- and listening to what I have to say and, also, to what they have to say. Have a good evening.

CITY CLERK: Our next speaker is Mason Herron.

MR. HERRON: Thank you. As I grew up in East
County, I've had a chance to observe the Fanita debate,
up close, for a large portion of my life. The list of
positive things to say about Santee is already long and
growing by the day. The progress this City has made over
the past two decades has boosted our local economy,

2.

providing an increase in the number of businesses and amenities local residents have the opportunity to enjoy.

The result of that progress, however, is that Santee is not the same city it was 20 years ago.

Demographics have changed. Economics have changed, accordingly, before the discussion to consider changing the General Plan.

In addition, (Unintelligible) of housing doesn't mean the same thing it did 20 years ago. As a member of the millennial generation, with friends who are in that same generation, I can speak to the fact that my peers see Santee as a great place to raise a family, with good schools, low crime, and a community attitude that can't be beat.

However, having been impacted by the realities of drought, few of us are willing to care for lots that are quarter acre in size, as is currently stipulated, and (Unintelligible) a lot to the General Plan. We're interested in environmental sustainable housing options and we prefer active open space, instead of a golf course.

It's for those reasons and others that I want to thank the City Council for willingness to look at an updated version of Fanita Ranch, one that maintains high standards, provides (Unintelligible) of housing we need,

2.

but one that awful -- also offers a range of products and amenities the City needs for residents young and old.

However, I would encourage this plan to address traffic throughout the City. Obviously, it's something that's on the minds of everyone here, pretty much.

Traffic is already bed -- bad, and will only get worse without a solution. I hope to see how Fanita can contribute to its solution, and I'm curious to see what kind of traffic improvement can be incorporated in the eventual Fanita plan.

It's clear that the developer has been looking at traffic studies already, the 52 corridor study he mentioned. I -- that's encouraging. Traffic is clearly the number one issue, and I hope that the developer continues to look for solutions to this problem and building a solution from step one to development. Thank you very much.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Mason, I have a question.

MR. HERRON: Yes.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Now, you're a millennial, right?

MR. HERRON: Correct.

MAYOR VOEPEL: So one of my suppositions and assumptions is that the millennials don't generate as much traffic, because they Uber around. Do you ever Uber?

2.

MR. HERRON: All the time, whether in Santee or Downtown or other areas. And Uber is -- Uber is trying to do something where they're trying to become part of the mainstream of traffic and transportation. But it's not just Uber, it's also bikes and other transportation options, too. It's stuff that, you know -- we're -- we're less car dependent than other generations, but it's still something we're concerned about. Something we have to deal with (Unintelligible).

MAYOR VOEPEL: Okay. Let me ask you another thing: We come out with cars that drive themselves.

MR. HERRON: Yes.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Is that a big millennial deal, too? I mean, I really don't understand you guys. I really don't, so help me out.

MR. HERRON: Well, what -- you know, I think we'll all be driving Teslas in about five years, but I know everyone's trying to do the self--driving car.

COUNCILMEMBER DALE: Don't say all of us.

MR. HERRON: What's that?

COUNCILMEMBER DALE: Not all of us.

MR. HERRON: Okay. All of us, being -- referring to millennials, at least. But you know, it's one of the many different options that are on the table from the future and something (Unintelligible) be watching with

2.

excitement. It'd be nice to be able to zip around town while being able to do work or other things and not having to worry about traffic and collisions and all the other fun stuff that comes with traffic.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Okay. So in your opinion, would you figure, from a millennial viewpoint, that our -- the parking requirements may drop with your generation?

MR. HERRON: I see that as definitely a possibility, but not something we should assume or take for granted.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Okay. Thank you. Me, I'm driving a donkey cart still.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: In my experience, Uber doesn't work too well for a family of six.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not yet.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Just saying. Think we still gotta keep that in mind.

CITY CLERK: Our next speaker is Ben Stone, who will be followed by Patricia Edmonson.

MR. STONE: Hi, my name is Ben Stone. I live at 7555 Linda Vista Road. I am a San Diego native, a small business owner. I'm also a board member with the San Diego Mountain Biking Association. We are an association of about 850 members of -- I think have hit this year in our membership drive. But we're representative of -- of what is probably second largest user group in the County

of San Diego.

You know, I'm here tonight to represent our members and to reiterate the importance of trails in the communities that are being built throughout the county. You know, I will say to HomeFed and -- and Jeff's credit, they've been incredibly receptive to our comments and, you know, our -- our outlook on -- on the area. You know, it's -- you guys -- where this community's positioned, you're gonna be east of Mission Trails, which at some point, will have about 40 something miles of pristine trail, primarily of interest to mountain bikers.

North, what I'm currently working on is the Stow Trail connection to Sycamore Goodan Ranch, which will lead into about another 12 to 20 miles of trail out, if the County could connect up to the 67 and to the transcounty trail, which will go across San Diego County. You have the San Diego River Park trail to the southeast.

You know, and I think trail, and specifically, you know, single-track trail, not just fire road -- although, that has it's place in the development as well. You know, large pathways. But narrow trail is really -- this community, if it has it, will be an incredible asset to the City of Santee. If you look around the state, there are plenty of places that (Unintelligible) the -- the financial drive for the City is bicycle tourism.

On my own opinion here tonight, I'm not representing San Diego Mountain Bike Association. I am in favor of the amendments. I do like that the suggestions are being taken about trail and the development. Because you know, if I look around projects I've worked on, or the state has purchased land -- if you just look to the east -- Lakeside Downs was recently purchased, with funds that had, you know, built into them, a opportunity for public trails, and there are no public trails on even existing byroads open to the public. And these are funds from taxpayers, for people like me, from the State of California, from Caltrans, zero access to the public.

You know, so my -- my conflict is -- my biggest concern is this property, for some reason, wasn't developed -- it was purchased by the state. Like I see on a daily basis or yearly, monthly basis, different properties that are purchased by the State are provided with zero access to the public option. And I can -- I can rattle off a couple across the County if I -- I wanted to, but you know, that's -- that's my biggest concern, where I come from, and what I'm here to represent this evening. Thank you.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Ben, I have a question for you.

MR. STONE: Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BARRETT REPORTING, INC.

MAYOR VOEPEL: As you're out there mountain biking, do you come across issues with motorcycles trying to join you on the trails? The reason why I ask that is because if we -- as we create the trails and things, if we don't do something, the -- keep -- keep motorcycles off, well, you know, not everybody's responsible with the proper equipment on a motorcycle, especially a small bike, like, that would go on the trails, and it could cause fires.

MR. STONE: Right.

MAYOR VOEPEL: So, you know, not -- not trying to say, Well, we don't wanna make trails, because we don't wanna make access, you know, for, you know, fire starters --

MR. STONE: Uh-huh.

MAYOR VOEPEL: -- but what you -- what kind of experience have you seen or had that are dealt with of people, you know, co-existing with --

Well, I -- I think if you look at this MR. STONE: area of property right now, historically, it's had a lot of ATV motor traffic going through it, and that's generally because the terrain that it's open to the east and there's a lot of ways of access. The southern -southern -- southeastern entrance is -- is probably the most often used.

You know, people being out there on the ground

(888) 740-1100

www.barrettreporting.com

is what, you know, dissuades the legal access. And you know, the -- the problem you have is you need legal trails for people to feel like they can pick up the phone and call the sheriff when someone's doing something wrong.

But you know, I -- I talked to the rangers at Sycamore Goodan Ranch, to the north, and the -- you know, I think, like, four months ago, they had two Jeeps come straight up there. You know, Clark Canyon, which you know, they spent millions of dollars trying to, you know, bring back to pristine habitat and, you know, two guys they're up there in the middle -- Sunday morning on Jeeps, because they don't know where they are.

I mean, I don't think they necessarily knew they were tearing into, you know, pristine habitat, but you know, legal use will dissuade illegal access. And I -- I've seen that on a number of projects we're working with across the county. And we've worked with the refuge, the San Diego Wildlife Refuge, the federal governments, the POM, the California State Parks, California Fish and Wildlife. And if you look around, legal use, and having people legally on trails, on the ground, is what dissuades that. And I think having that -- sort -- sort of the longer answer -- but having that -- you know, having people on the ground is what's

2.

gonna dissuade people from illegal use.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Great. Thanks.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Actually, I'm just gonna (Unintelligible) on a dovetail, exactly what he said, and that is that the more -- the more people that we have up there legally, the less likely we are to have others up there illegally, because they can report them.

MR. STONE: Right.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Nobody that's on their bicycle, dressed the same right now, is going to make a phone call to drop the dime on somebody that's up there on their motorcycle, because they weren't supposed to be there either.

MR. STONE: Right.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: But -- but when we open these trails and actually give legal access to our community to truly utilize the resources that we have in our -- within our community, I think it's going to settle down a lot of that -- a lot of that legal -- illegal stuff. So you were speaking right to my point that I wanted to make.

MR. STONE: And you will be -- literally, it will be at a gateway to somewhere in the range of 60 to 70 miles of single-track trail, which will probably be unique, even to Southern California. Only place we have that

2.

is -- is up and down with (Unintelligible) outside of Mount Laguna, that area.

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: You're a little excited about this, aren't you?

MR. STONE: Well, I get excited about trails. We like, you know, the -- the idea of actually some public input. We, for a long time, tried to provide input on certain developments and it hasn't passed muster. But I think people are realizing, you know, hiring sort of a consultant to do a cookie-cutter approach to every development isn't -- isn't what works. You know?

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Thanks, Ben.

CITY CLERK: Our next speaker is Patricia Edmonson.

MS. EDMONSON: Hi, I'm Patricia Edmonson. I live at 9926 Leavesly Trail, in Santee. And I don't have a lot to say. I just want to represent kind of the silent minority -- or majority here that's for this project and let everybody know that I'm really excited about it and I'm for it. Thank you.

CITY CLERK: Before we move on to the opp -speakers in opposition, I also want to mention that Allen
Carlisle with Padre Dam is here, available for questions,
should Council have any of him. I have three speakers in
opposition, but not wishing to speak, from Levi Goin,
Steven Lamoureaux and Elizabeth Frice. And then, our

2.

speakers wishing to speak, in opposition, our first one is Van Collinsworth, who will be followed by Ken Decker.

MR. COLLINSWORTH: Good evening, Council Members. Van Collinsworth, representing Preserve Wild Santee. I have a sense of deja vu. Does anybody else? The last time you did this, wasn't it, like, three minutes and talking about how great the project was and at the end was, "Oh, but I don't want it anyways"? Not quite the speech I remember, but we're -- we're getting close, believe.

Let me start out, first, by bringing to your attention that there is a letter from our Council, Kevin Johnson, commenting on the -- the (Unintelligible), from our position. We need a whole new environmental report for the project. So -- and you know, one of the things I wanted to do, because Kevin actually -- and his legal team -- has done just tremendous work, on behalf of Santee for more than 20 years now, and I've never had to -- or taken the time to thank him and the legal team, personally, for all the work and contribution that he has put into this, so I want to do that tonight. So thank you, Kevin Johnson, and the team for all the fine work, because I think that work really is what puts us in the position to have the opportunity that we have before us today.

Now, of course, with that being said, we have some difference of opinion on what that opportunity is.

Now, I -- I appreciate Jeff's pointing out that they want to conserve the southern part of Fanita Ranch. And what I would say is, I think that's great and I would encourage them to go ahead and move that conservation up to the northern portion of Fanita Ranch as well. So you know, we're -- we're getting closer.

I guess a few points that I -- I wanted to make, in terms of -- of opportunities is one of the things that we're struggling with, and the City had on the agenda a couple meetings ago was a plan of action plan, what to do about that. And you know, we're -- we're looking at, of course, the big international meeting in Paris on climate change and greenhouse gas reductions. And that whole problem can't be solved, unless we, at the local level, are doing important things to solve it.

So just to tie this together, one of the -- the opportunities with Fanita Ranch, and our own climate action plan is that you can conserve Fanita Ranch and store carbon there. And in fact, when you restore habitat, you're actually doing more to -- to store carbon. And by doing that, that could become an element of your climate action plan that you could get credit

2.

for. So I think that, along with community choice aggregation, is something that you really should take a close look at, in terms of climate action.

Of course, you know, obviously you would need HomeFed to come on board for that opportunity. And I guess there was a couple things, in terms of history that I wanted to clarify, just 'cause I know some of the information that was circulating. In -- in terms of lawsuits on the projects, there's really only been one lawsuit. That's the one that was on the project that was approved most recently in 2007.

CITY CLERK: Three minutes.

MR. COLLINSWORTH: And --

14 MAYOR VOEPEL: Hold on, Van.

MR. COLLINSWORTH: Sure.

MAYOR VOEPEL: With Council concurrence, I would like Van to continue speaking. We don't have that many speakers, and he represents a large and (Unintelligible) community; is that okay with everyone?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Unintelligible).

MAYOR VOEPEL: Please continue, Van.

MR. COLLINSWORTH: Thank you. And just clarifying on the -- on the lawsuits, there's really only been one lawsuit on the -- over the years, at least on the project. And then, in terms of -- many are thinking

2.

about the ballot initiatives and when I got sued by developers. Those -- so there have been other lawsuits, it just, this is the only one that's been on the project.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Got it.

MR. COLLINSWORTH: Okay. And then, in terms of -there's a perception by some folks that there's been a
project upheld at ballot. Actually, there's only been
one project on the ballot, that was in 1999, and that
project, of course, was reperenden -- reperended and
there was a landslide of two-thirds of the elect group
that voted against that project.

So one of the things that I would encourage, if a project actually moves forward at some point, is that the Council put that on the ballot for people to have their voice heard. And I know at one point Mayor Voepel actually made a promise to that affect. But I know you're also looking for the new (Unintelligible), so I don't know that you'll ever get to deliver that. But anyway, I don't want to ramble on too much. Again, I think the opportunity's there. Thanks for listening. If you have any questions, I'd be glad to come back up.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Van.

CITY CLERK: Our next speaker is Ken Decker, who will be followed by Jeff Kahn.

MR. DECKER: Mr. Mayor, City Council, Ken Decker,

9738 Settle Road, Santee. Yep, deja vu all over again. I've been a resident of Santee since 1979, and I've seen 2. lots of changes. I remember -- I overlook Santee Lake, so I remember when it wasn't even -- well, what was originally Santee Lakes Boulevard, now known as Fanita Parkway. Of course, I agree with most of what Van was talking about and I'm a member of Preserve Wild Santee, also. 

The last time around, I think it was proposed that Fanita Parkway would be widened in the area of what we call our rim dwellers. I have some concerns about that, because is that going to chop up my backyard, such as it is? And -- or even worse, take my house away. I do have those concerns. I don't think I'm gonna be around here to watch 20 years of this project develop, if it gets approved, but I still gotta look out for what's going on. So thank you.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Thanks, Ken. Good to see you, too.

CITY CLERK: Jeff Kahn, who will be followed by

Sandy Kuntz.

MR. KAHN: Good evening. Thank you for your time and your attention. I live in Santee. I've been here for about five years. Originally from Los Angeles, and we love Santee. It's a great community, and you couldn't get me to move back to Los Angeles.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Unintelligible).

MR. KAHN: My poor mom lives there. It's just too congested. I -- I'm a teacher. I commute to San Marcos. It's a long commute. In the last year and a half, my commute has grown substantially, primarily just to get on the 52. And on the streets that merge into the 52, they're all just becoming a parking lot and -- and there's a lot of development in the works and these are gonna be just adding to the congestion, and things are just getting too dense. That's my concern.

And there's an (Unintelligible) affect and it's -- it just -- it just seems to be building. So I'm concerned about the long term of what our community's gonna be like if there's too much density, and how could it impact the quality of living here for everyone and our city? So thank you.

CITY CLERK: Sandy Kuntz, who will be followed by Vicki Call.

MS. KUNTZ: Hi. Good evening, Councilmembers and Mayor. I'm Sandy Kuntz, and I live in the west side of Santee. It's over by Mission Trails Park. And there's already a lot of development proposed and approved, as you know. In the west side of Santee we've got the Castle Rock, we've got Pinnacle Peak. There's a Santee 50 coming up near where I live and it's -- I think we

just need it all to stop and take a breather, frankly.

I think, in my opinion, most Santee citizens don't want further development. I believe that's the majority. We're crowded. We're concerned with traffic. There's fire use, with pollution. And there's enough destruction of the natural lands. I -- I -- my vision for Santee is a beautiful community, surrounded by the lovely natural hills that we have, without the further destruction of the hill.

I'd like to request the Mayor who -- I don't know where he went -- and the -- the Council to protect our quality of life by halting further development for now and really, strongly looking at proposals, with an eye on stopping. I think -- from what I heard from the Council and the Mayor is that the City is in good shape, financially, and that we're all proud of that. That's what I heard before, when we were -- when everyone else was going down the slough, that we were able to -- to be okay. I don't think we need this trade-off for the money. Thanks for your time.

CITY CLERK: Vicki Call, to be followed by Steven Houlahan.

MS. CALL: I can't really see you without my glasses, but I can't read these (Unintelligible). My name is Vicki Call. I'm a 40-year resident in Santee and

I live at 10164 Peaceful Court. When I first moved here -- I live in Carefree East Condominiums -- there was a horse ranch behind me and I used to have to go to Carlton Hills to get my groceries. And I'm not saying that I would like to have a horse ranch behind me again, although, I didn't mind it, but I feel like we are really becoming overkill, just as Sandy mentioned this morning.

I took the time, and here's my little ticky tacks that I put down. I took a short drive. I live in Carefree East and there's a two-block distance from my complex to Wood Park Park, and there are 82 houses, and at noon time today there were a hundred -- let me see here, 106 cars and trucks, five motor homes and several boats. And that's just people who are still home, potentially could be running errands. That's not to say how many cars will be there tonight, when everybody has returned home.

So to me, in reading, too, what's on the agenda, all the potentialities, business, office park, public parks, commercial development, schools, fire station, library or post office, 400 -- some of this has been changed tonight in the speech, but -- a 400-acre regional park, mini parks, neighborhood parks, two community parks, a sports park, a golf course, and then extension of (Unintelligible) -- it sounds to me like we

have a mini city potentially being built north of our community, and we are the final (Unintelligible) traffic, and I have a great concern for that.

As it is, it takes me seven signals to get from my house to 67 and eight signals from my house to Town Center. So I feel that, you know, Santee's a hot community. Six months in the year when hot, they're irritable, so we're gonna have a lot of irritated people.

Also, when they talk about extending Magnolia and Cuyamaca, we have two schools on Magnolia. I live across from Santana High School. If you wanna be there from 7:30 to 8:30 in the morning, good luck. And there is also Rio Seco School on Cuyamaca. These are huge traffic jams. Parents dropping their kids off, trying to get to work, et cetera, et cetera. So I personally -- and I'll probably either be senile or dead by the time this would be completed, but I still have a vested interest. I have young nephews who live in this town, and frankly, I love this community. Okay, thank you.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Thank you.

CITY CLERK: Our next speaker is Steven Houlahan, who will be followed by Gloria Gerak.

MR. HOULAHAN: Hello, Mayor, Councilmen. Steven
Houlahan here, again. Glad to see you. And I live at
8824 Annandale Way, so kind of really close to West Hills

2.

High School. I grew up in Santee. My parents brought me here back in 1977. So I've seen a lot of change. Not part of the millennial generation, but you know, part of the X Generation, and it's been a huge change in the past 20 years and it -- it's been done right, but the specter of Fanita Ranch just keeps coming back. It's like a zombie. You just can't kill it. It comes back. It comes back.

I remember as a young man -- it started up when I was, like, in my 20s. I'm like, Oh, wow, you know, that -- that was really changed thing. Now, every nook and cranny's got something shoved in there. There -- San Diego's shoving Castle Rock over to the -- on our west side. You know, we've -- we've mentioned already about, you know, Pinnacle Peak. We were talking about that. You know, we have some places where the young folks live, apparently in those studio apartment.

But now we have this huge community, in the middle of this fire plane that -- that burned down, you know, was like the worst thing ever. You know -- you know, like, armageddon. Now they're gonna be out there, living -- it's gonna be armageddon for them one day.

Now we have this terrible water shortage. You know, it's not going away. So you know, they're not gonna be living in lush, green hills out there. And not

to mention the traffic. So traffic, traffic, traffic.

I -- I would love to hear some more from their traffic expert, but I think we probably get a hundred traffic experts here already. And unless you -- you know, you can make it four lanes wide on each direction, they're just gonna fill it, and it's just gonna be a parking lot with four lanes.

So the south -- the south county -- or the south bay crunch, you've been hearing a lot about that in the news. That's what's gonna happen when you bring all this stuff through. It's gonna be the east county crunch. Everybody -- like, my friends, they drive up the 15, they're like, "Oh, my God, you live in Santee?"

The -- the -- the traffic goes all the way down to the 805. You know, everyone's coming -- from the 805 all the way to the 52. The 15, in both directions. So it's just getting -- it's just getting to be complete gridlock.

And you know what? Uber is probably a great thing, but I can't Uber to work every day. I mean, you know, I gotta get in my car, I gotta drive over there, I gotta go to work, I gotta drive back. And then, you know, I pay taxes, and that's why this body's here.

So you know, represent the taxpayers. We're already here. We're in a good financial situation.

Don't drive us all out, because we can't drive over 52.

And I still hope you guys all take that 52 challenge.

Get out there and -- and take that. Not the 5 peak
challenge, but the 52 challenge. Go out there and drive
in front of West Hills High School, 8:30 in the morning,
and then go wait in line, all the way on the 52, with all
the trash trucks and then, you know, I guess coming from
the border next. It gets crazy. And I guess in the
summer we're all gonna be out there getting angry at each
other. So I -- yeah, trails, that's great. Put the
trails out there, not the houses, and -- and conserve the
area.

CITY CLERK: And our final speaker is Gloria Gerak.
MAYOR VOEPEL: Hey -- hey, Steven, would you agree
that whether you're millennial, Generation X or a baby

MR. HOULAHAN: I'm -- I'm feeling more like that every day. Maybe I'm getting older and grumpier all the time when I come over to talk, but you know, I just -- you know, I got -- I got young child that I gotta support. And I love Santee. It's safe. All the shops are great. Everything's been done correctly, so let's stay on that course. Let's not veer off and now just overdeveloping it and messing it all up. Thank you.

boomer, bottom line is, one day you're just plain old?

St. Andrew's Drive and I'm -- I am on the corner of Mast

MS. GERAK: My name's Gloria Gerak and I live at 975

2.

Boulevard and St. Andrew's, and I've been coming here for 20 years. And I'd like to ask you if this development --

MS. GERAK: What?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: More than that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: More than that.

MS. GERAK: Yeah, I know. I -- I've lived in Santee since, like, 1978. But I'd like to ask you: If this development is so great for our city, why isn't it built by now? Why have we been fighting and struggling over this thing for the past 20 years? And it always come down to open space, traffic and our quality of life. And I would bet none of these people from HomeFed drive on the 52 or on Mast Boulevard and deal with all of that traffic and the noise and the pollution.

And I understand that, you know, building wonderful things and having people buy our -- our products here in Santee is wonderful, but if they can't get to the stores, how wonderful could it possibly be?

My nephew bought a house in Lake Side. He grew up in Santee and he says, "I can't stand the traffic here, Auntie Gloria, so I'm going to Lake Side," but I'll still come back here to shop.

You know, it -- you know, people from (Unintelligible) coming here to shop and La Mesa and all over and that's what they're supposed to do, but it takes

2.

us 20 minutes to get around town to -- to get anywhere. I had to go to -- I had a meeting at 8 o'clock in Mission Valley and I had to leave my house an hour early, and it took me 30 minutes, from my house, that I could walk to in 15 minutes, down to the 52. It took me 30 minutes to get there. And there's no other way around it. If you go down on another street to get around, it's still the same way.

I -- I just don't understand how they can implement or alleviate congestion, when there's only so many linear feet of road to drive. And the man from SANDAG is nodding his head at me. I -- I can't even imagine what it's gonna be like when Castle Rock is built, how the people are gonna come out of there and physically drive down the road. And the reason that I know this is because I live on the corner of Mast Boulevard and St. Andrew's Drive.

And according to the General Plan, the sound in my backyard is supposed to be 60 decibels or below, and 60 decibels or below is probably nothing, compared to the sound in my backyard. And on the weekends, it's worse, because there's not as much traffic, so people race up and down the street as fast as they can. I know the sheriff has other things to do, but we raised the speed limit so that people wouldn't speed and now they only go

2.

faster. They don't pay any attention to signs that are on the street.

I know I'm saying just the same old crap that I've been saying for the past 20 years. It's the same old stuff, but it's the same problem. You know, we have a General Plan and, yet, every time it comes up for development, the General Plan has to be amended. So why do we have a General Plan?

CITY CLERK: Three minutes.

MS. GERAK: Okay. I -- I will probably -- I will be dead when this thing is done in 20 years, but I will totally be (Unintelligible), that's for sure. Thank you.

CITY CLERK: No further speakers.

MAYOR VOEPEL: Council, questions and comments? I would like to ask Allen Carlisle, General Manager of Padre Dam -- you're not getting off now to come forward. I have a few water questions, and I'm sure a lot of people do. Now, let me put you on the spot. And by the way, Allen Carlisle has done a wonderful job at Santee Lakes, as the General Manager, and for many years, in Santee. So we consider him a friend and an ally. And there's a difference between a friend and an ally; friends, you have to be nice to. Allies, you can abuse. So Allen, the question always is: We're in a drought, we don't have enough water, California's drying up, how can

we do another project?

MR. CARLISLE: Mr. Mayor, Councilmembers, good evening, first of all. Thank you for -- for having this forum. I think this is great to have a -- an opportunity for the community to come together and talk about issues that are important to -- to our community. I'm a resident here in Santee, I've been for 20 years, raising my family here, because I love the community and care greatly about it.

So that is a great question. And drought is something that we believe that is going to be more regular and more severe as we go through -- through time. We think that perhaps there is some speculation that for the last 150, 200 years that we were in a -- a wet cycle, and that perhaps we're entering in a more normal cycle, which is a dry cycle.

So we believe the -- the drought -- the droughts that we are going to be experiencing are going to be longer and -- and more severe. So what do we do about that? Well, first of all, a couple things to remember. First of all, Padre Dam is a retail water agency. We rely on the San Diego County Water Authority for our water. They are a wholesale provider. They are charged, from the state legislature, to provide water needed for current -- the current economy and population,

along with forecasted (Unintelligible).

So we rely on them. They have been in partnership with SANDAG since 1992, with a formal agreement to collaborate and coordinate long-range land use, economic population forecasting data, long-range water supply planning. So they work in concert together, in terms of how they move forward and how they develop water supply.

San Diego has done a phenomenal job with water supply diversification. Better than most communities in California. So much so -- if you could turn to that slide -- I want to share with you this -- this graphic that really provides the information. Oh, you gave it away. Did you chuck my -- my PowerPoints?

So this is a 2015 San Diego County water demand. This is how much water we need in San Diego County. It's 523,000 acre feet of water. That's enough to sustain the entire County of San Diego. So what do we have coming in to -- to our region? Well, first of all, we have local supplies, which is about a 27,000 acre feet. We have the Colorado River transfers, about 180,000 acre feet. So this includes the -- the -- the agreement that we have with the farmers in the Imperial County to -- to file their lands and -- and bring water to -- to San Diego, to our urban region.

American Canal Line, which San Diego County took on years ago, and invested in. So that's about 180,000. We have the V South plant that is coming online in December, next month. So this -- this year, it will produce about 41,000 acre feet. At full production will be about 52,000 acre feet of -- of new, sustainable water. And then, Metropolitan Water District, which is where County Water Authority gets the majority of their water, that (Unintelligible).

So as you can see, we only really have about a one percent shorefall this -- this year in San Diego County. So the question is: Why in the world are we required to conserve 20 -- 20 percent? Well, in April, the governor signed an executive order that required all Californians to conserve at least 25 percent across the -- the state.

So what the governor did is something that we don't agree with, and that is, you painted the entire state with the same brush, and he ignored communities, like San Diego, that have done a phenomenal job of diversifying our water portfolio. We did this, at great expense. Our water prices have gone up over 160 percent, and we did this so that we would have a sustainable, reliable supply of water in our economy, and our way of

life would be protected. And now, we're in this drought and the governor, for the first time in California history, set an executive order that didn't allow us to utilize these -- these sources of water that we had created.

So it's okay this year, because we're able to fill up our reservoirs. San Vicente and El Cap, and all the other reservoirs in -- in town, but if we're required to continue to conserve at the level that we don't need to, there's gonna be a time where we're going to reach a point where we're gonna be sending water down the stream, right into the ocean, and -- and wasting that, and -- and that's not gonna be well-received here in San Diego.

So it's a mixed message that I'm here, in front of you, with -- tonight. And that is that, yes, California is in a drought, and I know there's no question about it. The snow pack last year was the lowest in recorded history, and it's alarming to be in to the entire state. We have our -- our source of water from the Colorado River, the most litigated river in the world, with seven states and the country of Mexico buying for that water.

Our -- our supplies are not reliable and that's why we're utilizing local supply development, D Sound, portable reuse. But again, this is -- being an

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 innovator, again, in recycled water and creating a portable water supply for our community that could equate 2. 3 up to 30 percent of Santee's water use right here in --4 in -- in Santee. So we are diversifying, but we have 5 this mandate from the state that says we -- we must -- we 6 must conserve. So that is a long answer to that question, and I apologize, Mayor. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What was the question? 8 What 9 about the question? 10 MR. CARLISLE: I know, I know. 11 MAYOR VOEPEL: No, it's a great answer. Do we have

MAYOR VOEPEL: No, it's a great answer. Do we have enough water? Question to Bill Maertz, our Director of Community Services: What percent are we using, in town, for purple pipe and recycled water from Padre Dam?

MR. MAERTZ: Oh, in our -- our park system now, we have over 90 percent of all parks. Our park -- irrigated park land is on recycled water.

MAYOR VOEPEL: See, there it is. 15, 20 years ago, this City Council, under Jack Dale's leadership, put in purple pipe. I mean, it was-far reaching.

Another question: So Fanita Ranch comes in.

Right now, we're getting ready to go into Palomino, and

we'll probably be just drowning in the water for the next

year or two. As we go through these cycles, what can

Padre Dam do to make sure that a project like Fanita

2.

Ranch or Castle Rock, or any darn project, will have the water?

MR. CARLISLE: Well, again, we will always rely on the San Diego County Water Authority for the majority of our water. So we rely on a regional approach for -- for water supply. However, Padre Dam's uniquely positioned to create a new local water supply and that is what we'll reuse. That is our advanced water purification project that is currently being demonstrated right at our -- our (Unintelligible) water recycling facility. We're very proud of that.

Santee was a pioneer in water recycling back in 1960, and we have an opportunity to be pioneers and quotable reuse here today. And so, we are hopeful that, that project proves to be successful, and we think it will. It can create up to 30 percent of our water supply needs right here, hopefully, in -- in Santee.

So that is one way that -- that we, as a local retail agency can help further along. That doesn't mean that -- that we have water to waste. We need to rethink how we're utilizing our water. Back, not too long ago, we were using water at -- at the rate of about 160 gallons per person, per day. We're at under 100 now, and we think that could go over, and perhaps it should.

I remember the days when I was a kid growing up

```
1
     in El Cajon and we would let the -- the hose run right
 2.
     down the -- the sidewalk, without even thinking about it,
 3
     and those days are -- are long gone. And I think that
 4
     the way that we landscape, the way that we utilize water,
 5
     we need to conserve even more and that's gonna be
     difficult.
 6
          MAYOR VOEPEL: Allen, last question, then you're off
 7
 8
     the hook, unless Council has a question. I really admire
 9
     Israel. 92 percent of their water now is recycled.
10
     Could we ever get to that point?
          MR. CARLISLE: Well, sure, at -- at a great expense.
11
12
     It's still imported water. It -- it -- it's still the --
13
     the most -- the most affordable means of getting water
14
     into our -- into our region. So we become accustomed to
15
     very inexpensive water. But as those supplies become
16
     restricted, and there are issues with those supplies,
17
     we're gonna have to create supplies, like
18
     (Unintelligible), that cost about twice as much to
19
    produce.
20
          UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                 (Unintelligible).
21
                         If this is inexpensive, oh, my gosh.
          MAYOR VOEPEL:
22
          MR. CARLISLE: Yeah. Right? Absolutely. You're
23
     absolutely right. Our -- our -- our water bills have
24
     gone up 160 percent, countywide, over the last 10 years.
25
     It's -- it's dramatic. And it's because of the -- the --
```

2.

```
the investments that we've made. And that's why we're so angry with Sacramento for really creating a situation where we had stranded assets. These investments that we've made, we cannot use. We cannot put that water into our system at -- at the -- the sign of a -- of a -- of a governor's pen. And that's -- that's a -- that's maddening to us here.

COUNCILMEMBER DALE: That's hard to (Unintelligible).

MR. CARLISLE: I get it. I get it.
```

MAYOR VOEPEL: Public comment? Do we have any speakers for general public comment?

CITY CLERK: No further speakers.

COUNCILMEMBER DALE: I -- somebody was talking about the -- seeing all the different dates on Fanita Ranch and I think -- I think I've been involved in just about all of them. And I -- I can remember a time, 30 years ago, when I thought the future of our city depended upon the completion of 52, Town Center and Fanita Ranch, and you guys remember, had to retreat, and I thought, I'm not so sure about that anymore.

Somebody mentioned that you can -- you can just (Unintelligible) as you grow up with the city, that we're -- we're -- if we are -- we (Unintelligible) a little bit. And so if we purpose -- we go through -- I

know we're not making any decisions and we're not deciding anything, but I think we need to explore. Just what exactly is city's capacity? And it's not something you get the space to build houses, but the -- it's -- and it's not just only going to work, but it's also going to the grocery store and it's going to the park and it's going to schools and, you know, those things. And the -- and it's just not people live here, it's the trucks that come through this (Unintelligible) and -- and all -- and all those different things.

The -- and so I -- I -- I've kind of come to the -- to the conclusion, as we explore this, that we need to have economic study done on Fanita Ranch and what it's gonna look like, economically, for the future. Say the next 20, 30, 40 years. Perhaps we should do the same thing if we don't build it.

The -- I can tell (Unintelligible) in SANDAG.

I can tell you this region's gonna grow a million people between now and 2050. We're gonna grow some 500,000 jobs and 300 units, living units. 84 of them are gonna be -- 84 percent of them are gonna be attached. 60 percent of the growth comes from within, you know, our -- our kids. The -- but I -- and -- and was -- and you know, there's the idea that we will -- if -- if you stop growth, it won't come. It -- it still does. You know,

2.

(Unintelligible) people coming from (Unintelligible) stuff like that.

But I think that the -- we're here about the future of water and things like that. There's -- as he said, it's unreliable. And -- but the one thing I can tell you, we're growing a million people. This region's growing a million people. And what our participation in that is going to decide what it's gonna be like for my kids and, frankly, my grandkids.

The -- and so over the -- the many years we've explored this, and things have happened since we first started talking about Fanita Ranch, that other developments have come to town and we've -- we generated revenue from places we -- we didn't think it was -- it was gonna come or was more. And -- and so I think we are able to just kind of reevaluate what we're thinking.

So we're -- we're talking about this process, I -- I -- I think it would be appropriate for us to look at that. And yeah, there's a golf course at one time on there and there's a lake and they were both my ideas. And at the time, with the golf course, that was a thing people were doing. And the reason for that is that, if you look at a bell-shaped curve for our development in this town -- what -- what's the house? Three and two. Three bedrooms, two baths, right? Six, 7,000 square

foot. And we -- one of the reasons we approved that thing couple weeks ago is because they were very small units. And quite frankly for -- like my kids, when they -- when they graduated from college, they wanna get into the market and it's something they could afford.

And so, we were talking Fanita Ranch at the time. It was -- we -- whether the fire department goes to a house, at that time cost a couple hundred thousand or a half a million, it -- it -- or what's that value of house -- cost us the same, but we get more property tax. And we were looking for people with disposable income to -- to spend in Town Center. But like you heard from Gloria and other people is that it's happened. They stayed in the house where they were. And so now we still do have the disposable income for -- for -- because we've been successful in our careers and our kids are gone. And -- and so many things have changed in that fashion.

We talked about water, electric -- it seems to me that we're -- we're dealing with electricity a lot and the ability for SDG&E to provide it. And we -- we all work together to stop that -- that disaster that we want to put into -- at the -- at the (Unintelligible) channel. But that, again, comes into just where exactly is our city's capacity.

Now, I understand we're (Unintelligible) and we

2.

simply don't build houses does not mean that all these problems are gonna go away, 'cause we're just a piece of the bigger puzzle. But there are some things that are affected by -- by -- by the growth. And so I'm not here to say Fanita Ranch is a bad idea. I'm not here to say it's a good idea. I'm not saying (Unintelligible) open spaces are good or bad. But I've come to the conclusion that we should look at them in a parallel course. And as -- as we listen to people and explore this and see what the benefits of each one is and then we get to the final end, like, hypothesis is the -- the right answer, will reveal itself, frankly. So --

CITY CLERK: Okay. Any further Council comments on this item?

COUNCILMEMBER McNELIS: Yeah, I -- I just did the math on what -- on Jack's stats there. That's 22,222 people a year for the next 45 years coming in surplus in the -- in -- in the county. I don't -- this project -- in fact, any project that -- that gets started, green lighted, we say you go today -- any project that we would try and green light today still wouldn't see the light of day or see wouldn't see the first home being built for at least 18 months to 24 months.

That surplus -- that surplus is getting larger and expanding upon itself every single day, because for

the last eight years, we haven't built anything.

1

24

25

haven't built anything in this community. We haven't 2 built anything in San Diego County. That's the reason we 3 4 have such a huge deficit. It's a reason we have such low 5 inventory in real estate. It's the reason we're paying 6 such exorbitant prices for housing in our county. One of many reasons. I don't forget the sunshine 7 (Unintelligible). I get that. But -- but that's --8 9 that's it. It's a finite resource. There's only so much and -- and we haven't built in -- in a very long period 10 11 of time. 12 So I -- I just wonder what -- where --13 where are they gonna go? Where are my kids gonna go? Ι 14 mean, I got -- I got a lot of years before they're 15 buying -- you know, they're (Unintelligible) of housing. 16 But they may be staying at my place, yeah, 'cause we're 17 not building anything for them to afford to move into 18 in -- in town and I find that sad. 19 COUNCILMEMBER DALE: (Unintelligible) one other 20 thing that glad to be -- it is true that SANDAG is being 21 studied about putting something on a ballot that would be 22 used to build, and speed up, transportation projects. 23 Right now, the widening of 52 is part of the 2050

BARRETT REPORTING, INC. (888) 740-1100 www.barrettreporting.com

Regional Transportation Plan, for managed lane -- one

managed lane each way. Managed lane and control lanes

HOV lanes, that type of thing.

Right now, it's time needs to be done somewhere between 2045 and 2050. So if you -- and so that -- you're gonna -- gonna be hearing about things like that seven to get 78 done, to get the purple line from South Bay to Claremont -- to Claremont area. Also, 67's being -- is -- is -- is being considered (Unintelligible) speed it up. But as we -- as we think about this, I guess it's the -- it's not only what, but where, but when.

MAYOR VOEPEL: All right. Okay. I'd like to thank all the citizens for their input. HomeFederal, you did a great job with the proposals and the presentation. Van Collinsworth and all of our environmental friends -- first off, I've never heard a developer call the environmentalist environmental friends. This is kind of exciting to me.

And this simply states that Santee is a very cohesive community and we work together. Sometimes apart, but ultimately, we all come together for the good of the community. So HomeFed, if you could continue being sensitive to everyone's needs, and we'll be working on this and working on this and working on this. We've already worked on it over 30 years. Okay?

That concludes all the items listed on the

## CERTIFICATE

I, Krisha Alatorre, a transcriber and court reporter for Barrett Reporting, do hereby certify:

That said tape recordings were listened to by me and were transcribed into typewriting under my direction and supervision; and I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the tape recordings is a full, true, and correct transcript, to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action, not in any way interested in the outcome thereof.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my name this 28th day of August, 2020.

CS

Krisha Alatorre

CSR No. 13255

www.barrettreporting.com

BARRETT REPORTING, INC. (888) 740-1100